

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,392	03/28/2001	Jeffrey R. Hirsch	0112300-642	3729
29159	7590 06/04/2003			
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, IL	- ' -		WHITE, CARMEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3714 DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	
		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/819,392	HIRSCH ET AL.
_	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Carmen D. White	3714
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ONTH(S) FROM oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
I • .□ -:.	····· nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application).	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers	,	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appli	ication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been	received
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Sumr 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Activ	on Cumman.	

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2 of page 1 of the specification recites the priority application serial number "09/698,310". This appears to be a typographical error and is inconsistent with the application serial number for the C-I-P recited in the oath/declaration as 09/689,310.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Naughton** et al (5,519,825) in view of **Matsuno** (6,409,604).

Regarding claims 1, 9-29, 31-58, 61-66, 68-71 and 73, Naughton teaches a gaming video system that includes a display device; memory devices; a processor adapted to retrieve a plurality of graphical images from the memory devices and to generate the graphical images on the display device; a display frame defined by the display device, said display frame having predetermined dimensions (abstract; Fig. 4A; Fig. 5A; Fig. 5B; col. 1, lines 45-53; col. 2, lines 53-56; col. 5, lines 16-48). While Naughton teaches various types and sizes of sprite graphical images {character}, Naughton is silent regarding the feature of a sprite being larger than a dimension of a

Art Unit: 3714

display frame. In an analogous gaming system that displays character sprites, Matsuno teaches a situation where a display object {character} can be adjusted if too large to fit on the screen of the display (col. 10, lines 40-54). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature of Matsuno in the sprite programming of the graphical display of Naughton in order to make the display of large character data look clearer and more realistic on the gaming display.

Regarding claims 2-7, 30, 59-60, 67, 72 and 74, Naughton and Matsuno teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Naughton further teaches the sprite display features of image buffers for storage; varying depth and XYZ position data (col. 5, lines 31-55).

Regarding claim 8, Naughton and Matsuno teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Naughton further teaches the graphical images being animation (abstract).

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Naka et al (5,405,151) and Stephens (5,707,288) teach graphical sprite images in a gaming system.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,392

Art Unit: 3714

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-

5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7768

for Non-official communications and 703-305-3579 for Official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1078.

cdw

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4